1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLI	
2	Diane M. Doolittle (CA Bar No. 142046)	Andrew H. Schapiro (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
-	dianedoolittle@quinnemanuel.com	andrewschapiro@quinnemanuel.com
3	Sara Jenkins (CA Bar No. 230097) sarajenkins@quinnemanuel.com	Teuta Fani (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) teutafani@quinnemanuel.com
4	555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor	Joseph H. Margolies (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
4	Redwood Shores, CA 94065	josephmargolies@quinnemanuel.com
5	Telephone: (650) 801-5000	191 N. Wacker Drive, Suite 2700
	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	Chicago, IL 60606
6		Telephone: (312) 705-7400
7		Facsimile: (312) 705-7401
8	Stephen A. Broome (CA Bar No. 314605)	Josef Ansorge (admitted pro hac vice)
9	stephenbroome@quinnemanuel.com	josefansorge@quinnemanuel.com
9	Viola Trebicka (CA Bar No. 269526)	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (CA Bar No. 326266)
10	violatrebicka@quinnemanuel.com Crystal Nix-Hines (CA Bar No. 326971)	tracygao@quinnemanuel.com
	crystalnixhines@quinnemanuel.com	Carl Spilly (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) carlspilly@quinnemanuel.com
11	Alyssa G. Olson (CA Bar No. 305705)	1300 I Street NW, Suite 900
12	alyolson@quinnemanuel.com	Washington D.C., 20005
	865 S. Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	Telephone: (202) 538-8000
13	Los Angeles, CA 90017	Facsimile: (202) 538-8100
14	Telephone: (213) 443-3000	, ,
14	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
15		
1.0	Counsel for Defendant Google LLC	
16	Additional counsel on signature pages	
17		
	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
18	NODELLE DA DICEDICE OF CA	
19	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	LIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
		Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
20	CHASOM BROWN, et al., individually and	
21	on behalf of all similarly situated,	GOOGLE LLC'S OPPOSITION TO
21	Plaintiffs,	PLAINTIFFS' ADMINISTRATIVE
22	,	MOTION TO SET HEARING DATE ON PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLASS
22	v.	CERTIFICATION (DKT. 609) AND
23	COOCIETIC	OTHER PENDING MOTIONS
24	GOOGLE LLC,	
	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
25		
26		
27		
28		

Plaintiffs have needlessly burdened this Court with a simple scheduling issue. They ask the Court to set a hearing date of Friday, September 30, 2022 for their Class Certification Motion and five other motions, despite the facts that (1) Google's counsel informed Plaintiffs that multiple key team members are not available on that date, (2) the Court's Standing Order makes clear that the Court hears its Civil Law and Motion Calendar on Tuesdays at 2:00 p.m., and (3) at the August 26 hearing in the related *Calhoun* matter, the Court informed the parties of its sizable trial commitments in September. Google offered Plaintiffs Tuesday, October 11, 2022 or Tuesday, October 25, 2022 as alternative dates. Plaintiffs have not indicated that they are unavailable on either date. Rather, they claim they need an earlier hearing date in light of their concern that the Court will issue what Plaintiffs perceive to be an unfavorable ruling on damages in *Calhoun* before they get to argue their motions. *See* Mot. at 1, 3. That hypothetical scenario is no basis to demand the Court hear the motions on a Friday, and that Google's counsel rearrange their schedules (including canceling vacations), particularly when Google has made clear that it can be available less than two weeks later, on Tuesday, October 11, 2022.

Plaintiffs correctly note that the parties conferred during the week of August 15 regarding whether a schedule was possible so that the motions currently set for hearing on September 27, 2022 (Dkts. 703, 705) (the "September 27 Motions") could be advanced and combined with the motions currently set for hearing on September 20, 2022 (Dkts. 609, 662-64) (the "September 20 Motions"). Broome Decl. ¶ 2. Part of the agreement would have required Plaintiffs to file their additional motions by August 19, 2022, but agreement on a stipulation was not reached and Plaintiffs filed their *Daubert* motion (Dkt. 703) and motion to strike (Dkt. 705) on August 23, 2022. *See id.* ¶ 2 & Ex. 1.

Google never misrepresented its availability. Throughout the meet and confer process, Google was prepared to proceed with Plaintiffs' motion for class certification (Dkt. 609) and Google's three *Daubert* motions (Dkts. 662-64) on September 20, 2022. *Id.* ¶ 3. Google also agreed not to oppose proceeding with Plaintiffs' *Daubert* motion and motion to strike on September 27, 2022, even though lead counsel Andrew Schapiro is unavailable on that date due to a Jewish holiday, because someone other than Mr. Schapiro can argue the September 27 Motions. *Id.* ¶ 4. Google never agreed that the September 20 Motions could proceed on September 27, 2022, or vice versa. *Id.* In fact, the draft

2

3

1

5

6

7 | 8 |

10

9

1213

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

2122

2324

2526

27

28

stipulation cited by Plaintiffs only represented that Google would not seek to reschedule the currently set hearing dates "based on these stipulated changes to these briefing schedules." Pls.' Ex. 2 at 1.

At the August 26 Calhoun hearing, Mr. Schapiro inquired whether the Court intended to proceed with the September 20, 2022 hearing. Broome Decl. Ex. 2 at 71:12-17. The Court indicated that it has three trials in September. Id. at 71:18, 73:5-6. The Court further indicated that the September 20 Motions and September 27 Motions would be heard together. *Id.* at 72:19-22, 73:3-6, 74:9, 19-20. There is not enough time to fully brief the September 27 Motions in advance of the September 20, 2022 hearing date and given Mr. Schapiro's unavailability on September 27, 2022, moving the September 20 Motions to September 27, 2022 does not work for Google's lead counsel. Id. ¶ 6 & Ex. 2 at 73:11-20. Counsel for Google also indicated that it was unavailable on October 4. Id. Ex. 2 at 73:21-2; see also id. ¶ 6. The Court instructed the parties to meet and confer and find a date that worked for all. Id. Ex. 2 at 73:25-74:4. Google offered Tuesday, October 11 or Tuesday, October 25 for a hearing on all motions. *Id.* ¶ 7. Plaintiffs did not indicate – and have never indicated - that they are unavailable on either of those days. *Id.* Nevertheless, Plaintiffs rejected that offer, insisting that the hearing occur on a Friday in September, id., even though the Court emphasized that Tuesday is the Court's motion day, id. Ex. 2 at 74:13-17. In an effort to accommodate Plaintiffs' demand, Google canvassed its team for availability. *Id.* ¶ 8. However, multiple key team members (including those that will be arguing one or more of the motions) are not available on the two Friday dates Plaintiffs proposed (September 23 and September 30). *Id*.

Plaintiffs identify no prejudice from having the September 20 and September 27 Motions heard on October 11, 2022 or October 25, 2022. Their suggestion (Mot. 1) that Google is motivated by some strategic goal of obtaining one or more legal rulings in *Calhoun* prior to the *Brown* oral argument is baseless and bizarre, and certainly not a reason for convening such a critical hearing on dates when key Google counsel are not available. That is particularly true when everyone is apparently available on October 11, less than two weeks after Plaintiffs' requested September 30 date.

Google respectfully requests that the Court deny Plaintiffs' administrative motion, or in the alternative, sets a hearing date for October 11, 2022 or October 25, 2022.

1	DATED: August 30, 2022	QUINN EMANUEL UR	OUHART &
2	2   BATEB: Magast 30, 2022	SULLIVAN, LLP	gommi a
3	3	By /s/ Andrew H.	
4	,	Andrew H. Schapiro andrewschapiro@qui	(admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> )
		Teuta Fani (admitted	
5	5	teutafani@quinnema	
6	5	<u> </u>	(admitted pro hac vice)
7	7	josephmargolies@qu 191 N. Wacker Drive	
		Chicago, IL 60606	
8	3	Telephone: (312) 705	
9		Facsimile: (312) 705	- /401
10		<u>*</u>	(CA Bar No. 314605)
		stephenbroome@qui Viola Trebicka (CA)	
11		viola Hebicka (CA) violatrebicka@quinn	
12	2	Crystal Nix-Hines (C	
13	3	crystalnixhines@quin	
13		Alyssa G. Olson (CA alyolson@quinnema	
14	1	865 S. Figueroa Stree	
15	5	Los Angeles, CA 900	017
16		Telephone: (213) 443	
10	?	Facsimile: (213) 443	-3100
17	7	Diane M. Doolittle (Control of the Control of the C	
18	3	dianedoolittle@quini	
19		Sara Jenkins (CA Ba sarajenkins@quinner	
19		555 Twin Dolphin D	
20	)	Redwood Shores, CA	
21		Telephone: (650) 801 Facsimile: (650) 801	
22	$, \parallel$	1 acsimic. (030) 001	-5100
		Josef Ansorge (admit josefansorge@quinne	
23	3	Xi ("Tracy") Gao (C.	
24	ı	tracygao@quinnema	
25	5	Carl Spilly (admitted carlspilly@quinnema	- ·
		1300 I. Street, N.W.,	Suite 900
26	9	Washington, D.C. 20 Telephone: 202-538-	
27	7	Facsimile: 202-538-8	
28	$_{3}\parallel$		
		-3-	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

1	Jomaire A. Crawford (admitted <i>pro hac vice</i> ) jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com
2	jomairecrawford@quinnemanuel.com 51 Madison Avenue, 22nd Floor New York, NY 10010
3	Telephone: (212) 849-7000 Facsimile: (212) 849-7100
4	Jonathan Tse (CA Bar No. 305468)
5	jonathantse@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22nd Floor
6	San Francisco, CA 94111
7	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700
8	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
9	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	-4- Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SV
	-4- Case No. 4.20-cv-03004- I GR-5 V